The New Perspective on Paul: A Brief Essay

Toward the end of the twentieth century, a revolution in Pauline studies brought to the fore an understanding of Paul’s theology called the “New Perspective.” This terminology assumes that there was an “old perspective” on Paul (though, of course, no one ever called it that at the time).

The discussion concerns an assessment of Paul’s belief in “justification by grace” and of the importance that this had for his understanding of his own life and theology. The theme of justification by grace is prominent in two of Paul’s letters (Romans, Galatians): Paul insists that people are put in a right relationship with God at God’s initiative, as a result of God’s mercy and love rather than because of anything that they have done to earn God’s favor. The Protestant Reformation of the sixteenth century produced an understanding of Paul that focused on this motif in two ways. First, the Reformers saw justification by grace as the center of Paul’s thought; all the other things that he said could be regarded as implications of this one fundamental concept. Second, the Reformers understood justification by grace to be the doctrine that separated Paul from the Judaism of his day, which taught some form of “works righteousness” (i.e., the notion that people gain a right standing with God by doing good works and being obedient to God’s commandments). Thus, the “old perspective” on Paul was that he was converted from a legalistic Pharisaism that told him that he had to earn favor with God to a grace-oriented Christianity that told him that God accepted him just as he was. He was converted from a religion of guilt to a religion of love.

This understanding of Paul went virtually unchallenged for four hundred years, and then scholars began to question some of its key presuppositions. First, scholars asked whether it is proper to make justification by grace so central to Pauline thought. He does not mention it at all in several of his letters, and in the critical sections where it is discussed, his primary purpose seems to be defending the rights of Gentiles as full heirs of God’s promises to Israel. The specific question for Paul was whether Gentile converts must accept the Jewish law in order to become part of God’s chosen people, and what he says about justification by grace must be understood in that context.

Second, a number of scholars have insisted that the Pharisaism of Paul’s day was not in fact a legalistic religion of works righteousness. The Pharisees believed that they stood in a right relationship with God through grace, on account of God’s covenant with Israel. They did not believe that they had to keep the law in order to earn God’s favor; rather, they delighted in keeping the law as a way of observing the covenant that God had made with them. Furthermore, Paul never indicates that he found his pre-Christian life burdensome, nor does he refer to his life prior to his encounter with Christ as a time when he felt the need to attain salvation through his own efforts or merit (cf. Phil. 3:6).
The new perspective suggested that Paul’s ongoing conflict with his Jewish contemporaries concerned tendencies not toward legalism but rather toward separatism and exclusivism. God had revealed to Paul that, through Christ, salvation was available to all humanity. Thus, Paul objected to “works of the law” not because they were construed as good works that could earn God’s favor but rather because they were regarded as marks of ethnic privilege. Circumcision, dietary regulations, Sabbath laws, and the like were intended to set Israel apart from other nations so that Israel might remain God’s elite chosen people. According to the new perspective on Paul, justification by grace in itself was not a new concept; the Jews had always believed that they were justified by grace through God’s covenant with Israel. What was new in Paul’s gospel was that justification by grace now came through faith in Jesus Christ, and this claim had radical implications for all humanity: it was no longer exclusive to Israel. The controversial point in Paul’s teaching was not the basic idea of people being put right with God through grace rather than through works; the controversial point was that Gentiles could now become equal partners with Jews as part of the people of God.

New Perspective on Paul: An Example
What does this verse of scripture mean?

A person is justified by faith, apart from works of the law. (Rom. 3:28)

Traditional Interpretation
People are put right with God by trusting in what God has graciously done through Jesus Christ rather than by doing things that would earn God’s favor. In this view, works of the law = meritorious acts of human achievement (keeping commandments, performing good works, etc.).

New Perspective
People are put right with God by trusting in what God has graciously done through Jesus Christ rather than by being faithful to the covenant that God made with Israel. In this view, works of the law = covenant markers that identify Jews as belonging to God’s chosen nation (circumcision, Sabbath observance, dietary restrictions, etc.).

This new perspective on Paul has met with widespread acceptance and has altered the way many scholars understand various matters that come up in Paul’s letters. Many interpreters think the new perspective offers a more authentic way of understanding Paul’s writings, reading them in light of first-century conflicts between Jews and Gentiles rather than in light of sixteenth-century conflicts between Protestants and Roman Catholics. Some critics, however, caution that the “new perspective” has gone too far. They maintain that some Jews in the first century did indeed view obedience to the law as a means of earning God’s favor (just as some Christians in every age have thought this) and that part of Paul’s emphasis on grace is directed against such notions (Rom. 4:4–5; 10:3). More to the point, some scholars claim that the new perspective on Paul shifts the primary focus of Paul’s concern from a vertical emphasis on how people relate to God to a horizontal emphasis on how God’s people relate to each
other. Critics of the new perspective grant that Paul addresses manifold questions of how Jews and Gentiles should relate to each other, but they claim that these are only the implications of a fundamental concern for how all human beings (Jew or Gentile) are brought into a right relationship with God. Thus, justification by grace is not just important to Paul as a means to an end, the end being reconciliation of humanity; it is, in itself, of primary importance, and the reconciliation of humanity follows as an inevitable consequence.

Discussion of these matters will continue. The debates (What is primary? What is secondary?) may seem somewhat pedantic to beginning students, but decisions on such matters do end up affecting interpretation of individual Bible passages, which in turn affect the preaching and teaching of scripture in various Christian communities.
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